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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:35 am – 11:00 am</td>
<td>Overview of NSERC Discovery Grant with Jessica Keating and Keti Dzamova</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Faculty Panel with Profs. Dr. Aimy Bazylak and Dr. John Peever</td>
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<td>Q&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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RTI COMPETITION

September 16, 2022
Agenda

- RTI Updates
- RTI Program Objective
- RTI Program Eligibility (equipment, subject matter, and applicants)
- Applying to the RTI program
  - Internal Administration Procedures
RTI Program Updates

- RTI grants applicants and co-applicants who were successful in the previous year’s RTI competition will be ineligible to apply for one year. Eligibility will be reinstated the following competition year.

- Researchers will be able to participate on one application per competition, either as an applicant or a co-applicant, but not both.

- The excellence of the researcher will no longer be assessed, instead the committee will evaluate the extent to which the research team has relevant experience or present a training plan to demonstrate how they will gain the ability to use the equipment.
RTI Program Updates

- Change to the budget justification instructions to clarify and emphasize that an application will be rejected if the necessary quotations or the budget justification are not submitted with the application

- Additional instructions to specify correct tax and exchange rates in the budget justification

- Budget justification is now a 3-page maximum.

- Confirmation of Financial Contribution documents no longer need to be submitted to NSERC
RTI Application Cycle

1. Preparation of submissions by applicants and co-applicants
   - UofT Deadline: October 18
2. Submission of application to NSERC via Research Portal
   - NSERC Deadline: October 25
3. Early December
   - Selection Committee members receive applications
4. December – February
   - Assessment of applications
5. April
   - Announcement of results
Program Description

RTI Program Objective
RTI grants foster and enhance the discovery, innovation and training capability of university researchers in the natural sciences and engineering (NSE) by supporting the purchase of research equipment.

Program Description
- The RTI Grants Program is a 1-year award that provides the primary avenue for university researchers in the NSE to obtain up to $150,000 in support for research tools and instruments with a net* cost between $7,001 and $250,000.
- RTI applications must be for the purchase, repair, rental or manufacturing of equipment that is not readily available off the shelf.

*Here, net cost is defined as the purchase cost of the equipment after any discount from the vendor and before taxes, customs and importation fees, transportation and shipping charges, and assembly and installation costs.
The vendor discount must be free of conditions, restrictions or limitations. In other words, it cannot be offered in exchange for services from users benefiting the vendor company.

The research community is also encouraged to explore other avenues for funding research tools and instruments, including NSERC's other programs that allow the purchase of equipment as eligible expenses, such as the Discovery Grants program.
Application process RTI

Deadline: **October 25** (Mandatory) via Research Portal

**A complete submission includes:**

- Proposal (limit of four pages)
- Budget Justification (limit of three pages)
- Two (2) recent quotations for any items or any systems costing more than $25,000 before taxes

*Cash contributions*

Indicate any cash contributions, if applicable. A cash contribution is financial support provided by another source of funding, such as a university, industry or other sources. A vendor discount is not a cash contribution.

- NSERC Researcher CCV for each applicant and co-applicants
## RTI Application Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>2023 Competition</th>
<th>Completed by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summary of proposal</td>
<td>Max. 3000 characters (1/2 page)</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to be devoted to research/activity</td>
<td>In hours per month</td>
<td>Applicant &amp; Co-applicants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal</td>
<td>Limit of four pages (PDF)</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Justification</td>
<td>Limit of three pages (PDF)</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to other source of support (explanation)</td>
<td>Explain in <strong>Budget Justification</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Quotations</td>
<td>2 quotations in PDF, 10Mb each (for items more than $25,000)</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Confirmation of financial contributions</em></td>
<td>PDF (File size limit of 10Mb)</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Application Procedures RTI

✓ Internal processes
  ✓ PIs and Co Pis Eligibility
  ✓ My Research Application (MRA)
✓ Submitting applications on the NSERC Research Portal
  ✓ Checking application for completeness and
    ✓ If there are two quotes included for the RTI application for equipment over $25,000
    ✓ Budget totals on page 1 must be consistent with the bottom of the ‘Proposed Expenditures for Direct Costs of Research’ (Minimum budget $7,001, Maximum $250,000 of which only $150,000 can be requested from NSERC)
  ✓ If 'Budget Justification' have the Relationship to other research support
  ✓ Applicants and co-applicants who were successful in the previous year’s RTI competition will be ineligible to apply for one year.
  ✓ Forwarding the application to NSERC, by NSERC deadline
Proposal RTI

A. **Summary of Proposal** (limit of 3000 characters)
   
   Summarize proposal in plain language that the public can understand. It will be available to the public if your proposal is funded.

B. **Proposal** (limit of four pages)
   
   Refer to the 3 Selection Criteria section of the RTI program description. The applicant prepares the proposal with input from co-applicants, as required.
Proposal RTI

C. Budget Justification (limit of three pages)

- Explain and justify each budget item in the RTI Proposal
- Provide a breakdown of items requested; detailing the model, manufacturer, prices, exchange rates, and applicable taxes;

*To convert to Canadian currency, you must use an exchange rate consistent with the Bank of Canada rate at the time of application. Ensure that tax rates (i.e., applicable exemptions or rebates) used in the budget are consistent with the tax rates used by U of T which is 3.41%.

- Explain all financial contributions from industry, university or other sources towards purchase of equipment
C. Budget Justification (limit of three pages)

- Provide a detailed explanation and justification for each budget item identified in the Proposed expenditures page. Provide sufficient information to allow reviewers to assess whether the items requested are appropriate, including details on models and manufacturers.

**Budget Table Template**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Cost per unit in original currency</th>
<th>Exchange rate</th>
<th>Total cost in Canadian dollars</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal:

Institutional tax rate (%):

Total tax:

Total cost:

Total confirmed from other source(s):

Total requested from NSERC:
Proposal RTI

C. Budget Justification (limit of three pages)

Relationship to other research support

▪ Explain any relationship and/or overlap (conceptual or financial) between equipment supported by other funding sources and the current application

▪ Provide sufficient information to enable the reviewers to assess the relationship between this application and other sources of support, including those of co-applicants
Application Procedures

Checklist

✓ **Step 1: Eligibility**
  - Ensure that you meet NSERC’s eligibility criteria: and PI must currently hold*, or be applying* for one of the following NSERC research grants at the time of application list follows(list provided in next slide)
  - Ensure you are eligible to hold a research grant at UofT

✓ **Step 2: My Research Application (MRA)**
  - Internal electronic approval form required for all grant applications submitted through UofT
  - Must be received by Research Services no later than October 18, 2022, 9:00am

✓ **Step 3: Application and CCV**
  - Complete application on the Research Portal
  - Complete CCV on CCV website
  - Link CCV to Research Portal and submit by 9:00am October 25, 2022
RTI Application Procedures

Step 1: Eligibility

✓ The PI hold, or have a firm offer of, an academic appointment at a Canadian Institution (minimum three-year position or tenure track) as of September 1, 2023

✓ Be in a position that requires independent research and allows supervision of HQP

✓ To be eligible to apply for RTI, the applicant and co-applicants must currently hold*, or be applying* for one of the following NSERC research grants at the time of application: Alliance grant, Canada Excellence Research Chairs, Canada 150 Research Chairs, Canada Research Chairs, Collaborative Research and Development grant, Discovery Development grant, Discovery Grant, Discovery Horizons grant, Industrial Research Chairs grant, Strategic Partnership grant

✓ Applicants and co-applicants are responsible for ensuring they meet NSERC eligibility criteria for the RTI program
RTI Application Procedures

Step 1: Eligibility

✓ Applicants and co-applicants are responsible for ensuring they meet NSERC eligibility criteria for the RTI program which include:

✓ Appointment eligibility
✓ Program eligibility
✓ Competition eligibility
RTI Application Procedures

Step 2: Application MRA

✓ Internal electronic approval form required for all grant applications submitted through U of T
✓ Must be received by Research Services no later than October 18, 2022, 9:00am
RTI Application Procedures

Step 3: Application on Research Portal

✓ Ensure you have completed and finalized your application on NSERC’s Research Portal.

✓ Once finalized and completed, link your CCV to your application and verify.

✓ Submit to Research Services by clicking on the “Submit” button by no later than 9:00am on October 25, 2022, if not earlier.

✓ Once received by Research Services, your application will be approved and submitted to NSERC.
Important Links - RTI Program

RTI Peer Review Manual:

RTI Program Description:

RTI Program Instructions:

RTI Frequently Asked Questions:
Important Links - Subject Matter Eligibility

Selecting the Appropriate Federal Granting Agency:
https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/063.nsf/eng/h_FEE7261A.html

Clarifications to the Government of Canada guidelines on selecting the appropriate federal granting agency:
• https://www.ic.gc.ca/eic/site/063.nsf/eng/h_FEE7261A.html

Addendum to the guidelines for the eligibility of applications related to health:
• http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/NSERC-CRSNG/policies-politiques/Addendum-Addenda_eng.asp
Important Links - Procedures

Canadian Common CV – How to Complete NSERC’s Version:
https://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/ResearchPortal-
PortailDeRecherche/Instructions-Instructions/ccv-cvc_eng.asp

Research Portal FAQ:
https://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/ResearchPortal-PortailDeRecherche/FAQ-
FAQ/ResearchPortal-PortailDeRecherche_eng.asp

Guide for Applicants:
• Considering equity, diversity and inclusion in your application.
NSERC CCV Contact and resources

- If you are having technical troubles with the CCV, please contact:
  On-line Services Helpdesk
  Telephone: 613-995-4273
  Monday to Friday: 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM (EST)
  Email: webapp@nserc-crsng.gc.ca


- How to Videos: https://ccv-cvc.ca/indexresearcher-eng.frm
NSERC contacts

- If you are having technical troubles please contact:
  On-line Services Helpdesk
  Telephone: 613-995-4273
  Monday to Friday: 8:30 AM to 4:30 PM (EST)
  Email: webapp@nserc-crsng.gc.ca

- Research Tools & Instruments (RTI) general email: resgrant@nserc-crsng.gc.ca
Your Contacts at RSO

- Jessica Keating, Research Funding Manager
  jessica.keating@utoronto.ca

- Keti Dzamova, Research Funding Officer
  Keti.dzamova@utoronto.ca

- Kitishia-Trista Cordner, Research Funding Administrator
  kitishia.cordner@utoronto.ca

Website: www.research.utoronto.ca
Address: 3rd Floor, McMurrich Bldg,
         12 Queen's Park Crescent W.
         Toronto, ON M1S 1S8
What was the overall adjudication process like for you as a member of your sub-committee?

- What instructions were you provided as a reviewer?

- What evaluation criteria were you asked to use?
Overview of the RTI Panel

• The following discussion outlines my individual experience on the RTI panel - *Biological, Systems and Functions*
• Each application is reviewed by 4-5 panelists
• No discussion between panelists
• No written reviews; scoring sheet only
• Applications are scored in 3 core areas
• CV’s given little to no consideration
• Each reviewer assess 30-35 applications
# Overview of RTI Review Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTI Scoring Spreadsheet - 2022 Competition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sheet Language:</strong> English</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Program Officer:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Instructions**
- Please use the range of scoring options to provide a broad distribution in the overall ranking: Make sure that the most meritorious applications receive the highest scores (9 or 10) and weak applications receive low scores (1 or 2). Please do not use decimals. An average application should receive a 5 or 6.
- When done, ensure that the number of applications you scored, "Total Scored", matches the number of applications you were assigned, "Total Assigned". You must give a score to all applications assigned to you.
Overview of RTI Review Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scoring (1 [lowest] to 10 [highest])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Need, urgency and suitability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- (1) Need, urgency and suitability
- (2) Feasibility and impact
- (3) Training of highly qualified personnel (HQPs)
# Overview of RTI Review Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDI Considerations</th>
<th>Was EDI mentioned in regards to (2) Feasibility and Impact</th>
<th>Was EDI mentioned with regards to (3) Training of highly qualified personnel (HQP)</th>
<th>Did the EDI considerations from (3) Training of highly qualified personnel (HQP) favorably factor into the final score for this criterion?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Overview of RTI Review Criteria

**Scoring Summary and Guidelines**

Cells in this section with sets of scores that exceed the maximum allowed will turn red. Please avoid having any red cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Assigned:</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Scored:</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Need, urgency and suitability</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Feasibility and Impact</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Training of highly qualified personnel (HQP)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Maximum percentage of applications with given score(s):**
  - (120%) 10% 20% 30% 30% 20% 10%

- **Maximum number of applications allowed with given score(s):**
  - (20) 2 4 6 6 4 2
RTI Grant Structure

A. Need, urgency and suitability of the requested equipment for our research programs:

The Research Program(s) to be supported:

*Team Collaborations:

B. Feasibility and Impact:

C. Importance of proposed equipment for training of highly qualified personnel:

The research program supported by the new equipment promotes equity, diversity and inclusion (EDI) in all aspects of research.

D. Budget Justification

RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER RESEARCH SUPPORT
What are some things you wish you had known from your insider perspective as a successful applicant?
Perspectives as an applicant

Prof. Aimy Bazylak
Canada Research Chair in Thermofluidics for Clean Energy
Professor, Dept. of Mechanical & Industrial Engineering
Interim Director, Division of Engineering Science
Faculty of Applied Science & Engineering
University of Toronto
General points

• Each application is assessed by up to five Selection Committee members
• There are no external reviewers for the RTI program.

• Write for the non-specialist
• Assume the reviewers are tired, busy, and grumpy
  • Spoon-feed them!
Read this, especially the last page

2nd year with this criteria (changed in 2021-2022 cycle)

Selection Criteria

- Write for the evaluation criteria
- Members will provide scores for each criterion from 1 (lowest) to 10 (highest).
- Once NSERC receives the scores from all members, NSERC staff will enter scores into a spreadsheet and rank applications based on the average weighted totals.
The Selection Committee evaluated a total of 104 applications and awarded the 22 top-ranked applications for a success rate of 21.2% and a funding rate of 21.4%. Le Comité de sélection a évalué 104 demandes et retenu les 22 demandes les mieux classées pour un taux de réussite de 21.2 % et un taux de financement de 21,4 %.

The evaluation of each application was based on three weighted criteria. The results of the evaluation of your application are as follows: Les demandes de subvention sont évaluées en fonction des trois critères pondérés. Les résultats de l’évaluation de votre demande sont les suivants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Criteria / Critères de sélection</th>
<th>Average Score / Note moyenne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need, urgency and suitability of equipment for the research programs / Besoin, urgence et pertinence</td>
<td>8.80 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l’appareil en fonction du ou des programmes de recherche (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit of the research programs supported by the equipment and excellence of the applicant(s) / Mérite</td>
<td>8.80 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>des programmes de recherche auxquels servira l’appareil et excellence du ou des candidats (40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of the equipment for the training of highly qualified personnel (HQP) / Importance de l’appareil</td>
<td>8.40 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pour la formation de personnel hautement qualifié (PHQ) (20%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Application Score / Note globale de la demande</td>
<td>8.72 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Rank / Classement de la demande</td>
<td>3 / 104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection Committees do not prepare written comments or feedback for RTI applications. Les comités de sélection ne préparent pas de commentaires écrits pour les demandes de subventions d’OIR.
The Selection Committee evaluated a total of 88 applications and awarded the 24 top-ranked applications for a success rate of 27.3% and a funding rate of 28.7%. Le Comité de sélection a évalué 88 demandes et retenu les 24 demandes les mieux classées pour un taux de réussite de 27,3 % et un taux de financement de 28,7 %.

The evaluation of each application was based on three weighted criteria. The results of the evaluation of your application are as follows: / Les demandes de subvention sont évaluées en fonction des trois critères pondérés. Les résultats de l’évaluation de votre demande sont les suivants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Criteria / Critères de sélection</th>
<th>Average Score / Note moyenne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need, urgency and suitability of equipment for the research programs / Besoin, urgence et pertinence de l’appareil en fonction du ou des programmes de recherche (40%)</td>
<td>7.60 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit of the research programs supported by the equipment and excellence of the applicant(s) / Mérite des programmes de recherche auxquels servira l’appareil et excellence du/de la ou des candidat(e)s (40%)</td>
<td>8.40 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of the equipment for the training of highly qualified personnel (HQP) / Importance de l’appareil pour la formation de personnel hautement qualifié (PHQ) (20%)</td>
<td>7.80 / 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Overall Application Score / Note globale de la demande | 7.96 / 10 |
| Application Rank / Classement de la demande           | 6 / 88    |

Selection Committees do not prepare written comments or feedback for RTI applications. / Les comités de sélection ne préparent pas de commentaires écrits pour les demandes de subventions d’OIR.
The Selection Committee evaluated a total of **74 applications** and awarded the **18 top-ranked applications** for a success rate of **24.3 %** and a funding rate of **25.2 %**. / Le Comité de sélection a évalué 74 demandes et retenu les 18 demandes les mieux classées pour un taux de réussite de 24,3 % et un taux de financement de 25,2 %.

The evaluation of each application was based on three weighted criteria. The results of the evaluation of your application are as follows: / Les demandes de subvention sont évaluées en fonction des trois critères pondérés. Les résultats de l’évaluation de votre demande sont les suivants:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Criteria / Critères de sélection</th>
<th>Average Score / Note moyenne</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need, urgency and suitability of equipment for the research programs / Besoin, urgence et pertinence de l’appareil en fonction du ou des programmes de recherche (40%)</td>
<td>6.80 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merit of the research programs supported by the equipment and excellence of the applicant(s) / Mérite des programmes de recherche auxquels servira l’appareil et excellence du/de la ou des candidat(e)s (40%)</td>
<td>9.60 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Importance of the equipment for the training of highly qualified personnel (HQP) / Importance de l’appareil pour la formation de personnel hautement qualifié (PHQ) (20%)</td>
<td>6.60 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Application Score / Note globale de la demande</strong></td>
<td>7.88 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Application Rank / Classement de la demande</strong></td>
<td>5 / 74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Selection Committee evaluated a total of 63 applications and awarded the 18 top-ranked applications for a success rate of 28.6% and a funding rate of 29.0%.

The evaluation of each application was based on three weighted criteria. The results of the evaluation of your application are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selection Criteria</th>
<th>Average Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need, urgency and suitability (40%)</td>
<td>6.20 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility and impact (40%)</td>
<td>6.20 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training of highly qualified personnel (HQP) (20%)</td>
<td>5.00 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Application Score</td>
<td>5.96 / 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Rank</td>
<td>37 / 63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection Committees do not prepare written comments or feedback for RTI applications.
Real-time 3D imaging for clean electrochemical energy conversion

My headings!

Keep in mind the changes in case you are looking at past examples from your colleagues
### 1. Introduction

### 2. Need, urgency, and suitability
- Demonstration that the equipment is essential for the research, and that there are no other more cost-effective ways of obtaining the results.
- Availability of similar equipment/facilities/services in the vicinity.
- The impact of a delay in acquiring equipment on the research and the pace of research progress.
- Need to upgrade or replace obsolete or failed equipment, and
- Degree of utilization of the equipment by the applicant(s) and other users.

### 3. Feasibility and Impact
- Quality and significance of the research program(s), including potential for major advances and impact in the discipline as a result of the equipment.
- Feasibility of the plan to use the equipment, and
- Extent to which the applicant(s) has relevant experience or has presented a training plan to demonstrate how they will gain the ability to fully use the equipment.
- Consideration of equity, diversity, and inclusion in the rationale of the team composition (applicant and co-applicant(s)).

### 4. Training of HQP
- Quality and extent of training.
- Opportunity for hands-on training, and
- Potential to provide marketable skills for students trained on the equipment.
- Consideration of equity, diversity, and inclusion in the training of HQP.

### OTHER CONSIDERATIONS
- Eligibility of the subject matter
- Eligibility of the equipment and expenses
- Partial funding recommendation (may)
Title

Accessible, exciting (action), hint to impact

**Examples:**

- “Real-time 3D imaging for clean electrochemical energy conversion”
- “A fabrication, testing, and imaging laboratory for all solid-state batteries”
- “Accelerated catalyst layer design and fabrication: Materials discovery through machine learning”
Introduction

• Who cares?
• Need to get you interested
• What will you do?
• What equipment are you asking for?
Need urgency and Suitability

• Don’t just say you need it.
• What would you otherwise be limited to doing if you didn’t have it?
• What new directions can you take if you had this equipment?

“Prof. Bazylak currently does not have any means of fabricating tailored catalyst layers, and without the proposed equipment, her work will be strictly limited to the numerical modelling of catalyst layers that will lack validation under realistic conditions.”

1. Need, urgency and suitability (40%)
   ▪ Demonstration that the equipment is essential for the research, and that there are no other most cost-effective ways of obtaining the results;
   ▪ Availability of similar equipment/facilities/services in the vicinity;
   ▪ The impact of a delay in acquisition of equipment on the research and the pace of research progress;
   ▪ Need to upgrade or replace obsolete or failed equipment; and
   ▪ Degree of utilization of the equipment by the applicant(s) and other users.
Feasibility and Impact

- Impact – measurable (not just “enhance” “investigate” “study”)
  - Who cares?
- Do you know how to use the equipment? How will you get the skills to do it?
- EDI
HQP & EDI

• Don’t gloss over this
• Be genuine
• I have X# of women in my group. <- No
Relationship to other research support
(Example)

• Version 1:
There is no overlap in funding, within this project objectives and tasks. Other funding sources in the Bazylak lab are not allocated to any of the studies proposed here.

• Version 2:
There is no overlap in funding, within this project objectives and tasks. Other funding sources in the Bazylak lab are not allocated to any of the studies proposed here; however, Prof. Bazylak’s well-supported research in porous materials for clean electrochemical energy is highly synergistic with respect to the fundamental science needed for the proposed work. Therefore, Prof. Bazylak is well-positioned to lead the proposed work with Dr. XXXXX.
Q & A – How to ask questions

• Please use the chat

• Click on the icon in the bottom menu to bring up the Meeting Chat pop-out window

• Type your question and hit Enter on your keyboard or click the button to submit.

• We will not be using the “Raise your hand” feature.

*Please note: You may be asked to Unmute to clarify your question*
Upcoming Event:

Webinar and Discussion – Addressing EDI Considerations in Your NSERC Discovery/RTI Application

Date: Sept. 20, 2022
11:00 am - 12:00 pm

cris.utoronto.ca/rdf/programs/
Upcoming Event:

Webinar
– In Conversation: Intro to EDIRI at U of T –

Date: Sept. 21, 2022
10:30 am - 11:30 am

cris.utoronto.ca/rdf/programs/
Thank you!

- A link to the recording, presenter slides, and feedback form will be sent out after the session
- Follow-up questions can be addressed to Keti Dzamova 'keti.dzamova@utoronto.ca'